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## Pre-PCI Angiogram

## Final Angiogram

Pre-dilation with a $2.5 * 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ balloon at unknown pressure.
$3.0 * 24 \mathrm{~mm}$ DES post-dilated with a $3.0 * 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ balloon at 18 atm.

## Pre-PCI OCT



This was a retrospective, single-center, observational study to evaluate the association between OCTassessed plaque at a bifurcation and SB occlusion.

From October 2008 through May 2015, 440 patients with stable angina pectoris underwent PCI with OCT and IVUS before PCI.

The inclusion criteria of this study were: (1) MV diameter of $\geq 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and SB diameter $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ by angiographic visual estimation; (2) PCI using single-stent crossover; and (3) pre-PCI OCT and IVUS pullback of MV from $>5$ mm distal to $>5 \mathrm{~mm}$ proximal to the SB ostium.

## Patient Demographics

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (n=26) | No (n=181) | p |
| Age, yrs | $68.7(60.3,77.8)$ | $65.9(60.5,73)$ | 0.39 |
| Male | $15(88.5)$ | $148(84.9)$ | 0.63 |
| Diabetes mellitus | $11(42.3)$ | $61(31.8)$ | 0.28 |
| Hypertension | $20(76.9)$ | $118(61.5)$ | 0.13 |
| Dyslipidemia | $13(50)$ | $119(61.9)$ | 0.24 |
| Current or former smoker | $6(23.1)$ | $48(25.0)$ | 0.83 |
| Renal insufficiency (eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73m²) | $1(3.8)$ | $4(15.4)$ | 0.57 |
| Prior percutaneous coronary intervention | $10(38.5)$ | $65(33.9)$ | 0.64 |
| Prior myocardial infarction | $4(15.4)$ | $52(2.6)$ | 0.20 |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL | $106(83,122)$ | $104(83,123)$ | 0.55 |
| High-sensitivity C-reactive protein | $0.07(0.001,0.45)$ | $0.001,0.2)$ | 0.84 |
| Statin usage at admission | $14(53.8)$ | $109(56.8)$ | 0.78 |

## Procedural Details

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (n=26) | No $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 8 1})$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| Mean MV stent diameter (mm) | $3.3(3.0,3.5)$ | $3.3(3.0,3.5)$ | 0.99 |
| Max MV stent diameter (mm) | $3.5(3.0,3.5)$ | $3.5(3.0,3.5)$ | 0.81 |
| MV stent length (mm) | $35.5(27,52)$ | $28(23,38)$ | 0.01 |
| Max pressure (atm) | $15(12,18)$ | $14(13,16)$ | 0.69 |
| DES | $21(80.8)$ | $153(84.5)$ | 0.41 |




Proximal bifurcation angle (between the proximal MV and the SB) and distal bifurcation angle (between the distal MV and the SB) were measured using 3D reconstruction of two angiographic images separated by a viewing angle of $\geq 30^{\circ}$.

## Angiographic Lesion Characteristics

Sidebranch Occlusion

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (n=26) | No (n=181) | p |
| Target lesion location |  |  | 0.87 |
| LAD | $17(65.4)$ | $116(64.1)$ |  |
| LCX | $2(7.7)$ | $22(12.2)$ |  |
| RCA | $7(26.9)$ | $45(23.2)$ |  |
| True bifurcation | $21(80.7)$ | $98(54.1)$ | 0.01 |
| $\quad$ Medina 1,1,1; 1,0,1; or 0,1,1 | $126(111,145)$ | $133(116,146)$ | 0.13 |
| Proximal bifurcation angle, ${ }^{\circ}$ | $67(61,83)$ | $56(44,74)$ | 0.003 |
| Distal bifurcation angle, ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |

## QCA

## Sidebranch Occlusion <br> Yes (n=26) <br> No (n=181)

| Proximal main vessel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference vessel diameter, mm | 2.9 (2.6, 3.2) | $2.9(2.7,3.3)$ | 0.40 |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm | 1.5 (1.2, 1.8) | $1.8(1.3,2.3)$ | 0.009 |
| Diameter stenosis, \% | 49.1 (34.0, 59.8) | 35.4 (19.9, 54.4) | 0.04 |
| Lesion length, mm | 9.9 (6.9, 13.0) | 8.9 (6.0, 12.9) | 0.59 |
| Polygon of confluence |  |  |  |
| Reference vessel diameter, mm | 2.6 (2.0, 3.2) | 2.6 (2.2, 3.0) | 0.75 |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm | 1.1 (1.1, 1.7) | 1.6 (1.2, 2.0) | 0.004 |
| Diameter stenosis, \% | $50.9(37.9,60.5)$ | $38.2(23.9,50.9)$ | 0.001 |
| Lesion length, mm | 3.1 (2.8, 3.2) | 3.1 (2.7, 3.9) | 0.62 |
| Distal main vessel |  |  |  |
| Reference vessel diameter, mm | 2.3 (2.1, 2.6) | $2.4(2.1,2.7)$ | 0.63 |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm | $1.5(1.5,1.8)$ | 1.6 (1.2, 1.9) | 0.21 |
| Diameter stenosis, \% | 39.7 (18.6, 54.2) | 33.5 (21.6, 46.7) | 0.23 |
| Lesion length, mm | $9(6.7,14.5)$ | 9.5 (6.9, 12.1) | 0.95 |
| Side branch |  |  |  |
| Reference vessel diameter, mm | 1.6 (1.5, 2.0) | $1.8(1.5,1.9)$ | 0.13 |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm | $0.9(0.8,1.0)$ | $1.1(0.9,1.4)$ | 0.008 |
| Diameter stenosis, \% | 52.0 (41.0, 55.7) | 40.0 (26.3, 50.3) | 0.0006 |
| Lesion length, mm | $9(6.7,14.5)$ | 9.5 (6.9, 12.1) | 0.95 |

## IVUS Main Vessel Analysis

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes ( $n=26$ ) | No ( $n=181$ ) |  |
| Location of max PB |  |  |  |
| Proximal 5 mm | 19 (73.1\%) | 99 (54.7\%) |  |
| POC | 3 (11.5\%) | 18 (9.9\%) | 0.13 |
| Distal 5 mm | 4 (15.4\%) | 64 (35.4\%) |  |
| Proximal main vessel |  |  |  |
| Plaque burden, \% | 82.5 (75.7, 86.3) | 74.5 (67.2, 83.3) | 0.03 |
| Lumen area, mm² | 2.5 (1.9, 3.3) | 3.4 (2.3, 4.9) | 0.03 |
| Max PB in POC | 78.4 (66.7, 82.3) | 70.0 (57.9, 77.6) | 0.02 |
| Distal main branch |  |  |  |
| Plaque burden, \% | 74.2 (66.0, 80.1) | 71.4 (60.3, 81.3) | 0.50 |
| Lumen area, mm² | 2.5 (2.0, 3.8) | 3.1 (2.1, 4.4) | 0.20 |

$\mathrm{PB}=$ plaque burden
POC=polygon of confluence

## OCT Analysis - I

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (n=26) | No (n=181) | p |
| Prevalence of plaque rupture | $4(15.4 \%)$ | $23(12.1 \%)$ | 0.71 |
| Prevalence of thin-cap fibroatheroma | $6(23.1 \%)$ | $40(22.1 \%)$ | 0.91 |
| Cap thickness, $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $88.5(67,130)$ | $110(70,150)$ | 0.10 |
| Prevalence of lipidic plaque | $125(48.1 \%)$ | $878(48.5 \%)$ | 0.90 |
| Lipid volume index, degree $\times \mathrm{mm}$ |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Proximal segment | $611(545,725)$ | $409(225,678)$ | 0.02 |
| $\quad$ Distal segment | $316(201,377)$ | $361(166,558)$ | 0.41 |
| Prevalence of calcified plaque | $67(25.8 \%)$ | $452(25 \%)$ | 0.78 |
| Calcium volume index, degree $\times \mathrm{mm}$ |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Proximal segment | $119(69,295)$ | $169(65,332)$ | 0.70 |
| Distal segment | $75(51,407)$ | $106(57,251)$ | 0.80 |



Layered plaque had a homogeneous intensity in a layered pattern with underlying lipidic or attenuated plaque having a different OCT intensity


## OCT Analysis - II

|  | Sidebranch Occlusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (n=26) | No (n=181) | p |
| Prevalence of layered plaque | $23(88.4 \%)$ | $61(33.7 \%)$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Circumferential distribution of layered plaque |  |  |  |
| SB side | $17(73.9 \%)$ | $13(21.3 \%)$ |  |
| Lateral side | $5(21.7 \%)$ | $26(42.6 \%)$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Contralateral side | $1(4.4 \%)$ | $22(36.1 \%)$ |  |
| Longitudinal distribution of layered plaque |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Proximal segment | $16(69.6 \%)$ | $23(37.7 \%)$ |  |
| POC | $4(17.4 \%)$ | $20(32.8 \%)$ | 0.009 |
| Distal segment | $3(13.0 \%)$ | $18(29.5 \%)$ |  |
| Layered plaque length, mm | $3.0(2.5,3.5)$ | $2.5(1.5,3)$ | 0.001 |
| Maximum layered plaque area, mm² | $1.5(1.1,2.0)$ | $1.2(0.8,1.7)$ | 0.06 |
| Maximum layered plaque thickness, mm | $0.6(0.5,0.7)$ | $0.5(0.4,0.6)$ | 0.03 |

## Independent Predictors of SB Occlusion



- The best cutoff value for layered plaque length was 2.3 mm; PPV of $95.7 \%$, NPV of $47.5 \%$, sensitivity of $95.5 \%$, AUC of 0.75
- The best cutoff value for maximum layered plaque thickness was 0.68 mm ; PPV of $48 \%$, NPV of $83.6 \%$, AUC of 0.65
- The best cutoff value of the distal bifurcation angle from 3D angiographic analysis was $65.5^{\circ}$
- Maximum PB within the MV segment was not chosen as an independent predictor in the multivariate logistic regression model ( $P=0.57$ ).
- Peak post-procedural CK-MB was higher in patients with SB occlusion compared to those without SB occlusion (27.0 [16.5, 43.5] vs 13.0 [10.0, 16.8] U/L, $P<0.0001$ ) as was the prevalence of CK-MB $\geq 5 \times$ ULN $(24 \%[6 / 25]$ vs $3.3 \%$ [6/181], $P<0.0001$ ).
- However, the prevalence of SCAI defined periprocedural MI was low and not statistically different (4.0\% [1/25] vs 0.6\% [1/181], $P=0.11$ ) between the patients with vs without SB occlusion.
- An OCT-detected layered plaque was significantly associated with SB occlusion after single-stent crossover to treat a bifurcation lesion - especially, a layered plaque that was located at the SB side and in the proximal MV where layered plaques were also thicker and longer.
- Together with the presence of a layered plaque, a true bifurcation lesion (Medina 1,1,1; $1,0,1$; or $0,1,1$ ) and a larger distal bifurcation angle were all independent predictors of acute SB occlusion after crossover MV stenting.


## Pre-PCI OCT



## Final OCT



